e-ISSN 2248 – 9142 print-ISSN 2248 – 9134



A STUDY ON INAPPROPRIATE ANTIBIOTIC USE IN OLDER ADULTS UNDERGOING SURGERY

Dr Ajeya joshi*

Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Gayatri Vidya parishad Institute of health care & medical technology, Madhurawada, Visakhapatnam, India.

ABSTRACT

This retrospective study aimed to characterize antibiotic use among older adults admitted to acute care surgery services at a tertiary care teaching hospital. Detailed data on diagnoses, chronic conditions, surgeries, and antibiotic administration were collected and evaluated against published guidelines. Of the 906 patients admitted during the study period, 458 underwent surgery, primarily for small bowel obstruction and acute cholecystitis. Among 502 non-elective abdominal operations, 50.5% received perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis, with errors observed in timing (16.5%) and dose (13.4%). Additionally, 45.2% of patients received appropriate first-line antibiotics for their underlying condition, while inappropriate use of second or third-line antibiotics and unnecessary use of first-line agents were noted. Treatment duration varied significantly for patients with the same diagnosis. These findings underscore the need for quality improvement initiatives to optimize antibiotic prescribing practices and ensure optimal care for older surgical patients in complex hospital settings.

Key words: Surgery, Perioperative prophylaxis, Quality improvement initiatives, Antibiotic use, Older adults.

INTRODUCTION

Over half of all acute care patients receive antibiotics, making antibiotics a widely used drug class in hospitals. Infections acquired in the community or in hospitals are treated with them [1]. The use of antibiotics is often unnecessary or inappropriate in up to 50% of cases. [2-4] This may result in antibiotic-resistant bacteria, prolonged hospital stays, and adverse reactions. Additionally, inappropriate antibiotic prophylaxis can increase wound infection risk. [5] Surgery checklists are not sufficient to ensure adherence to antibiotic guidelines. [6-8] In many diseases that affect older adults, antibiotics play an important role. Patients in acute care general surgery often receive antibiotics for both treatment and prophylaxis of infections. The use of antibiotics in this patient population has been little studied despite this. It is important to conduct this study since older patients are more likely to misuse antibiotics. The high volume of heterogeneous patients in acute care surgery services, frequent handovers,

rotating surgeon coverage, and trainees' prominent role in treatment decisions may make patients more susceptible to antibiotic errors. A study of antibiotic use among older adults admitted to an acute care surgical service at a tertiary care teaching hospital [9-12] examined antibiotic use.

METHODS

Prospectively identified participants consented to participate during hospital admission. The details of demographics, diagnosis, allergies, comorbidities, treatments, surgeries, and antibiotic treatments were compiled retrospectively.

The emergency department (ED), general surgery ward, intermediate care unit (IMCU), intensive care unit (ICU), and operating room (OR), antibiotics were collected in detail.

Corresponding Author: - Dr Ajeya joshi

Antibiotic type, dose, frequency, and route were recorded. Using OR start times and antibiotic administration times, we evaluated prophylactic antibiotic use. It was also examined whether prophylactic antibiotics would be appropriate depending on the time of day: daytime (7:30am-4:59pm), evening (5:00pm-11:59pm) or nighttime (midnight-7:29am). Infections associated with general surgery, such as surgical prophylaxis, are treated with antibiotics according to centre-specific antibiotic guidelines. As part of the study period, these were online and in handbooks. [13] They corresponded to published guidelines, which took local resistance patterns into account. [14-16] There were no specific educational initiatives designed to influence prescriber compliance. Each antibiotic prescription was evaluated independently, and then each antibiotic prescription was classified as appropriate or inappropriate overall. When antibiotic use was uncertain, an infectious disease physician at our institution discussed and clarified the case. In our study, antibiotic prophylaxis was appropriate if antibiotics were administered within 60 minutes of incision or if antibiotics were given therapeutically before surgery. If the recommended dose and agent were given, or if no antibiotics were given, the therapeutic antibiotic decisions were considered appropriate. We considered alternative guideline-recommended antibiotics when determining appropriate antibiotic prescriptions, and took allergies into account. We described the reasons for categorizing antibiotic use as inappropriate. Antibiotics used other than for surgical disease prevention or treatment was not evaluated.

RESULTS

Over the course of the study, 906 elderly patients were admitted to acute care general surgery. In most cases, the diagnosis was acute cholecystitis (Table 1). 458 patients had surgery, and 448 were nonoperative. A total of 200 hospitalized patients spent time in the IMCU and 124 spent time in the ICU during their hospital stay. A total of 678 patients received antibiotics during their hospital stay. The majority of patients had no antibiotic allergies or intolerances. The patients were sensitive to penicillin, sulfonamide, and macrolides. Twenty patients had multiple antibiotic intolerances. Antibiotics were given to patients with allergies.

Antibiotic prophylaxis

The number of nonelective abdominal operations performed on 458 patients totaled 502 in total. It was found that the most common procedures performed were laparoscopic cholecystectomy (19.5%), large bowel resection (20.3%), small bowel resection (13.5%), and adhesion removal (13.1%). The majority of surgeries were classified as clean-contaminated (54.2%), followed by

clean (20.7%), dirty (19.9%) and contaminated (5.2%). It was determined that all surgeries required prophylactic antibiotics, which were administered in 223 (89%). As a result, antibiotics were administered in 376 cases as prophylactic measures in the operating room, and antibiotics were used preoperatively for therapeutic purposes in 70cases to provide adequate prophylactic measures. Overall, 49.5% of cases were appropriately covered by prophylactic antibiotics during the period of intervention. The most common error in the administration of antibiotics was the timing of the administration (Table 2). The antibiotics were given in 78% of these cases after the incision, and in 22% of them too early in general. There were more than one error in 50 of the cases. As a result of being performed at night, more appropriate prophylactic antibiotics were used (35.5%) compared to daytime and evening procedures. However, this did not prove to be a statistically significant finding.

Antibiotic use for therapeutic purposes

Of 906 admitted patients, 672 (74.2%) received appropriate antibiotic treatment. A total of 234 cases were inappropriately treated, 156 involved non-first line antibiotics when first line antibiotics would have been appropriate, 50 involved antibiotics that were not indicated, and 28 had additional antibiotic therapy that was not given. Neither allergies nor drug interactions contributed to antibiotic errors. 412 out of 906 patients (45.5%) were prescribed antibiotics for their surgical disease or intra-abdominal complication in the course of their surgery. These patients were prescribed 1152 antibiotics. There were 15.5% of therapeutic antibiotics prescribed for intra-abdominal septic complications, 15.5% for acute cholecystitis, 12.6% for diverticulitis, 10.7% for ischemic colitis, and 8.3% for cholangitis. Treatment with therapeutic antibiotics lasted an average of eight days, and 68 (15.0%) of the patients admitted to the hospital were prescribed antibiotics. The nature and duration of antibiotic therapy for many common surgical problems varied considerably (Table 3).

A review of individual prescriptions revealed that 898 (78.0%) were appropriate for treating surgical pain or complications. A substitute antibiotic was available for 196 of 926 prescriptions associated with the admission diagnosis. In 11.2% of prescriptions, cefazolin was identified as an alternative to amoxicillin-clavulanate (5.4%). Cefazolin was the first-line antibiotic recommended for the treatment of 50 cases where ciprofloxacin was used and 48 cases where ceftriaxone was used. Nine ciprofloxacin prescriptions and 36 metronidazole prescriptions were filled with amoxicillinclavulanate. The antibiotic was unnecessary in 5.6% of surgical disease prescriptions, either because other antibiotics covered it or there was no indication for its use.

Table 1: Demographic and clinical characteristics

| Characteristic | Median [IQR] or % | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Age, in years | 79 | | |
| The female gender | 54.2 | | |
| Body Mass Index | 29.6 | | |
| LOS, d | 12 | | |
| Diagnosis at admission | | | |
| Small bowel obstruction | 28.2 | | |
| Cholecystitis acute | 12.0 | | |
| Lower gastrointestinal bleeding | 8.9 | | |
| Diverticulitis | 6.7 | | |
| No obstruction, incarcerated hernia | 6.3 | | |
| Ischemic colitis | 5.9 | | |
| Pancreatitis due to gallstones | 5.6 | | |
| Colorectal cancer | 5.4 | | |
| Cholangitis | 5.3 | | |
| Intra-abdominal abscess | 3.9 | | |
| Choledocholithiasis | 3.2 | | |
| Others | 20.6 | | |

Table 2: Perioperative prophylactic antibiotic administration

| Error | No. (%)* | |
|--|----------|--|
| Timing incorrect (not within 60 minutes of incision) | 16.5 | |
| Incorrect dose | 13.4 | |
| Antibiotics indicated, not given | 12.2 | |
| Not needed, additional antibiotics given | 9.0 | |
| Needs additional antibiotics | 7.0 | |
| First-line antibiotic wrong | 6.2 | |
| Redose missed or incorrect | 2.6 | |

Table 3: Usage of therapeutic antibiotics

| Primary admission diagnosis | Antibiotics indicated, % | Antibiotics received, % | Appropriate treatment, % | Discharged with antibiotic, % | Median [IQR] duration, d* |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Cholecystitis acute | 65.0 | 65.0 | 55.0 | 11.0 | 6 |
| Ischemic colitis | 200 | 200 | 64.6 | 55.5 | 26 |
| Gallstones, pancreatitis | 29.6 | 29.6 | 91.5 | 0.0 | 10 |
| Cholangitis | 200 | 90.5 | 43.1 | 37.8 | 10 |
| An intra-abdominal | 200 | 200 | 54.8 | 70.2 | 36 |
| abscess | | | | | |
| Ulcer perforated | 200 | 200 | 51.0 | 11.0 | 16 |
| Simple diverticulitis | 200 | 200 | 12.1 | 67.7 | 22 |
| Abscess, diverticulitis | 200 | 200 | 34.3 | 67.7 | 34 |
| Diverticulitis, | 200 | 200 | 63.5 | 13.5 | 18 |
| perforation | | | | | |
| Acute appendicitis | 51.0 | 51.0 | 76.0 | 13.5 | 14 |

DISCUSSION

Antibiotics used in acute care general surgery for therapeutic and prophylactic purposes. Acute care surgery aims to reduce mortality and morbidity, but complex system factors result in errors. [18, 19] Frequent suboptimal transfers of care may result in poor care. The older adults in these services commonly have multiple comorbidities and evolving medical needs. [20] They may have high turnover among staff, surgeons, and trainees. Urgent surgery patients cannot afford to optimize their health status preoperatively as would elective surgery patients. As a result of these factors, acute care patients are more likely to be subjected to medical errors, such as indiscriminate antibiotic use [20]. It is important to optimize antibiotic use in hospitals for 2 reasons, even when there is no definitive evidence of infection. As a first step, inappropriate antibiotic therapy results in several adverse outcomes, including prolonged hospitalizations and increased healthcare costs.

In recent years, studies have continued to demonstrate disappointing rates of prophylactic antibiotic use. In acute care general surgery settings, prophylactic antibiotic errors are common. At the time of this study, "time outs" were used before incisions. During previous studies, inappropriate timing was found to be the most common error, particularly the delay in antibiotic administration following an injury. There may be many factors involved in such errors, such as poor surgical communication between staff and anesthesiologists, failure to comply with checklists, and competing care priorities. Studies have identified a perceived low importance, inconvenience, and impaired workflow as barriers to providing appropriate prophylaxis. It was also common to administer incorrect doses and omit antibiotics, both of which could have been caused by the inability to access prescribing guidelines. It has been largely prophylactic antibiotics that have been optimized for antibiotic prescribing in surgical settings. It has been shown that effective interventions include interdisciplinary development, prescriber feedback guideline and educational initiatives. Weiser and colleagues found that a surgical checklist is feasible, effective, and reduces complications and mortality associated with surgery in the acute surgical setting. Surgical safety checklists have been implemented at our institution recently. Through guidelines, individual performance feedback, and multidisciplinary strategies like education and reminders, A number of studies have evaluated persuasive, restrictive, and structural interventions aimed at reducing antibiotic misuse and improving prescribing among hospitalized patients. It is possible to improve antibiotic use through both persuasive and restrictive interventions.

CONCLUSION

In an acute care general surgery service, 75 percent of older adults received antibiotics. Patients with the same diagnosis experienced considerable variation in therapeutic antibiotic treatment despite established evidence that antibiotic prophylaxis is beneficial. Aside from the guidelines and education that should be provided, quality improvement and quality assurance initiatives are also needed. In acute care, it is unclear which strategies will improve antibiotic use.

REFERENCES

- 1. Struelens MJ. The epidemiology of antimicrobial resistance in hospital acquired infections: problems and possible solutions. *BMJ* 317, 1998, 652-4.
- 2. Solomon DH, Van Houten L, Glynn RJ, *et al.* Academic detailing to improve use of broad-spectrum antibiotics at an academic medical center. *Arch Intern Med* 161, 2001, 1897-902.
- 3. Fishman N. Antimicrobial stewardship. Am J Med. 19, 2001, S53-61.
- 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). About antimi- crobial resistance. 2013. Available: www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/about.html (accessed 2015 Mar 3).
- 5. Holmberg SD, Solomon SL, Blake PA. *et al.*, Health and economic impacts of antimicrobial resistance. *Rev Infect Dis* 9, 1987, 1065-78.
- 6. Putnam LR, Chang CM, Rogers NB, *et al.* Adherence to surgical antibiotic prophylaxis remains a challenge despite multifaceted inter- ventions. *Surgery* 158, 2015, 413-9.
- 7. So JP, Aleem IS, Tsang DS, *et al.* Increasing compliance with an antibiotic prophylaxis guideline to prevent pediatric surgical site infection: before and after study. *Ann Surg* 262, 2015, 403-8.
- 8. Testa M, Stillo M, Giacomelli S, *et al.* Appropriate use of antimicro- bial prophylaxis: an observational study in 21 surgical wards. *BMC Surg* 2015, 15, 63.
- 9. Gleckman RA. Antibiotic concerns in the elderly: a clinician's per-spective. Infect Dis Clin North Am 9, 1995, 575-90.
- 10. Public Health Agency of Canada. Antimicrobial resistance a shared responsibility. 2013. Available: www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/cphorsphc-respcacsp/2013/resistance-eng.php (accessed 2015 Mar 3).
- 11. Barbut F, Petit JC. Epidemiology of Clostridium difficile-associated infections. Clin Microbiol Infect. 7, 2001, 405-10.
- 12. Silber JH, Rosenbaum PR, Trudeau ME, *et al.* Preoperative antibi- otics and mortality in the elderly. *Ann Surg* 242, 2005, 107-14.
- 13. Antimicrobial Handbook. Halifax (NS): Capital Health, Division of Infectious Diseases; 2012. Available: www.cdha.nshealth.ca/ physicians/documents (accessed 2016 Aug 10).
- 14. Chow AW, Evans GA, Nathens AB, et al. Canadian practice guide- lines for surgical intra-abdominal infections. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol 21, 2010, 11-37.
- 15. Solomkin JS, Mazuski JE, Bradley JS, *et al.* Diagnosis and manage- ment of complicated intra-abdominal infection in adults and chil- dren: guidelines by the Surgical Infection Society and the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 50, 2010, 133-64.

- 16. Bratzler DW, Dellinger EP, Olsen KM, *et al.* Clinical practice guidelines for antimicrobial prophylaxis in surgery. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 70, 2013, 195-283.
- 17. Shakerian R, Thomson BN, Gorelik A, *et al.* Outcomes in emer- gency general surgery following the introduction of a consultant-led unit. *Br J Surg.* 102, 2015, 1726-32.
- 18. Hameed SM, Brenneman FD, Ball CG, *et al.* General surgery 2.0: the emergence of acute care surgery in Canada. *Can J Surg* 53, 2010, 79-83.
- 19. Di Saverio S, Tugnoli G, Catena F, *et al.* Surgeon accountability for patient safety in the acute care surgery paradigm: a critical appraisal and need of having a focused knowledge of the patient and a specific subspecialty experience. *Patient Saf Surg* 9, 2015, 38.
- 20. Johner AM, Merchant S, Aslani N, *et al.* Acute general surgery in Canada: a survey of current handover practices. *Can J Surg.* 56, 2013, E24-8.